arXiv:1102.2414v2 [math.FA] 15 Feb 2011

Derivatives and perturbation bounds for symmetric tensor powers of matrices

Priyanka Grover*

Indian Statistical Institute, Delhi Centre, 7, S.J.S. Sansanwal Marg, New Delhi-110016, India

January 12, 2013

Abstract

Expressions for all order derivatives for the permanent of a matrix and its symmetric tensor powers are given. Norms of the derivatives of symmetric tensor powers are evaluated exactly. From there, an upper bound for the norm of the derivatives of the permanent is obtained. These are then used to give perturbation bounds for both these functions.

1 Introduction

The Jacobi formula for the derivative of the determinant of a matrix has been well known for a long time. For the antisymmetric and symmetric tensors powers, norms of their first derivatives had been calculated in [7] and [5] respectively. Recently, Bhatia and Jain [8] have derived three formulas for higher order derivatives of the determinant each of which is a generalisation of the Jacobi formula. After that, Jain [10] has also calculated higher order derivatives for the antisymmetric tensor powers. In the same spirit, here we obtain derivatives of all orders for the symmetric tensor powers. To obtain these, we first calculate the derivatives of the permanent function. We also evaluate the norm of the derivatives of the symmetric tensor powers and give a bound on the norm of the derivatives of the permanent function. As corollaries, we derive higher order perturbation bounds for these functions.

Let $\varphi : \mathbb{M}(n) \to \mathbb{C}$ be a differentiable map. The derivative of φ at a $n \times n$ complex matrix A is the linear map $\mathrm{D}\varphi(A) : \mathbb{M}(n) \to \mathbb{C}$ whose action at $X \in \mathbb{M}(n)$ is given by :–

$$\mathrm{D}\varphi(A)(X) = \frac{d}{dt}\bigg|_{t=0} \varphi(A + tX). \tag{1.1}$$

---

*Email address: pgrover8r@isid.ac.in

Then $\mathrm{D}\varphi$ is a linear map of $\mathbb{M}(n)$ into $\mathscr{L}(\mathbb{M}(n);\mathbb{C})$, the space of all linear operators from $\mathbb{M}(n)$ into $\mathbb{C}$. The *second derivative* of φ at A is the derivative of $\mathrm{D}\varphi$ at A and is denoted by $\mathrm{D}^2\varphi(A)$. This is an element of $\mathscr{L}(\mathbb{M}(n);(\mathscr{L}(\mathbb{M}(n);\mathbb{C}))$ which is identified with $\mathscr{L}_2(\mathbb{M}(n);\mathbb{C})$, the space of bilinear mappings of $\mathbb{M}(n)\times\mathbb{M}(n)$ into $\mathbb{C}$. Similarly, for any k, the k^{th} derivative of φ at A, denoted by $\mathrm{D}^k\varphi(A)$, is an element of $\mathscr{L}_k(\mathbb{M}(n);\mathbb{C})$, the space of multilinear mappings of $\mathbb{M}(n)\times\cdots\times\mathbb{M}(n)$ into $\mathbb{C}$ (See [9]). If φ is k-times differentiable, then for $X^1,\ldots,X^k\in\mathbb{M}(n)$,

$$\mathrm{D}^k\varphi(A)(X^1,\ldots,X^k)=\left.\frac{\partial^k}{\partial t_1\cdots\partial t_k}\right|_{t_1=\cdots=t_k=0}\varphi(A+t_1X^1+\cdots+t_kX^k). \tag{1.2}$$

To state our results concisely, we need some multiindex notations which we briefly recall from [2] and [8]. Let

$$Q_{m,n}=\{(i_1,\ldots,i_m)|1\le i_1<\cdots<i_m\le n\}$$

and

$$G_{m,n}=\{(i_1,\ldots,i_m)|1\le i_1\le\cdots\le i_m\le n\}.$$

For $m>n$, $Q_{m,n}=\phi$, by convention. Also, note here that for $m\le n, Q_{m,n}$ is a subset of $G_{m,n}$. Given two elements $\mathcal{I}$ and $\mathcal{J}$ of $G_{m,n}$, let $A[\mathcal{I}|\mathcal{J}]$ denote the $m\times m$ matrix whose (r,s)-entry is the (i_r,j_s)-entry of A. If $\mathcal{I},\mathcal{J}\in Q_{m,n}$, then $A[\mathcal{I}|\mathcal{J}]$ is a submatrix of A.

Let $\mathcal{H}$ be an n-dimensional complex Hilbert space. Let $\otimes^k\mathcal{H}$ be the k-fold tensor power of $\mathcal{H}$ and $\vee^k\mathcal{H}$ be the symmetric tensor power of $\mathcal{H}$. If $\{e_i\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $\mathcal{H}$, then for $\alpha=(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_k)\in G_{k,n}$, we define $e_\alpha=e_{\alpha_1}\vee\cdots\vee e_{\alpha_k}$. If α consists of ℓ distinct indices $\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_\ell$ with multiplicities $m_1,\ldots,m_\ell$ respectively, put $m(\alpha)=m_1!\cdots m_\ell!$. Note that if $\alpha\in Q_{k,n}$, then $m(\alpha)=1$. An orthonormal basis of $\vee^k\mathcal{H}$ is $\{m(\alpha)^{-1/2}\ e_\alpha:\alpha\in G_{k,n}\}$. Let $\vee^kA$ denote the kth *symmetric tensor power* of A. With respect to the above mentioned basis, the (α,β)-entry of $\vee^kA$ is $(m(\alpha)m(\beta))^{-1/2}\operatorname{per}A[\alpha|\beta]$.

If $\mathcal{I},\mathcal{J}\in Q_{m,n}$, then we denote by $A(\mathcal{I}|\mathcal{J})$, the $(n-m)\times(n-m)$ submatrix obtained from A by deleting rows $\mathcal{I}$ and columns $\mathcal{J}$. The j^{th} column of a matrix X is denoted by $X_{[j]}$. Given $n\times n$ matrices $X^1,\ldots,X^m$ and $\mathcal{J}=(j_1,\ldots,j_m)\in Q_{m,n}$, we denote by $A(\mathcal{J};X^1,\ldots,X^m)$, the matrix obtained from A by replacing the j_p^{th} column of A by the j_p^{th} column of X^p for $1\le p\le m$, and keeping the rest of the columns unchanged, that is, if $Z=A(\mathcal{J};X^1,\ldots,X^m)$, then $Z_{[j_p]}=X^p_{[j_p]}$ for $1\le p\le m$, and $Z_{[\ell]}=A_{[\ell]}$ if ℓ does not occur in $\mathcal{J}$. Let σ be a permutation on m symbols, then by $Y^\sigma_{[\mathcal{J}]}$, we mean the matrix in which $Y^\sigma_{[j_p]}=X^{\sigma(p)}_{[j_p]}$ for $1\le p\le m$ and $Y^\sigma_{[\ell]}=0$ if ℓ does not occur in $\mathcal{J}$.

2 Permanent

The *permanent* of A, written as $\operatorname{per}(A)$, or simply $\operatorname{per} A$, is defined by

$$\operatorname{per} A = \sum_{\sigma} a_{1\sigma(1)} a_{2\sigma(2)} \cdots a_{n\sigma(n)}, \tag{2.1}$$

where the summation extends over all the permutations of 1,2,...,n. Let $\operatorname{per} : \mathbb{M}(n) \to \mathbb{C}$ be the map taking an $n \times n$ matrix to its permanent. This is a differentiable map. We denote by $\operatorname{D}\operatorname{per} A$, the derivative of per at A.

The famous *Jacobi formula* for determinant says that

$$\operatorname{D}\det(A)(X) = \operatorname{tr}(\operatorname{adj}(A)X), \tag{2.2}$$

where the symbol $\operatorname{adj}(A)$ stands for the transpose of the matrix whose (i, j)-entry is $(-1)^{i+j} \det A(i|j)$, called the *adjugate* (or the *classical adjoint*) of A. The *permanental adjoint* of A, denoted by $\operatorname{padj}(A)$, is the $n \times n$ matrix whose (i, j)-entry is $\operatorname{per} A(i|j)$ (See [12], page 237). This difference of transpose in the definitions of adj and padj is just a matter of convention. We obtain the following result similar to the *Jacobi formula* for determinant.

Theorem 2.1. *For each* $X \in \mathbb{M}(n)$*,*

$$\operatorname{D}\operatorname{per}(A)(X) = \operatorname{tr}(\operatorname{padj}(A)^T X). \tag{2.3}$$

Proof. For $1 \le i \le n$, let $A(j; X)$ be the matrix obtained from A by replacing the j^{th} column of A by the j^{th} column of X and keeping the rest of the columns unchanged. Then (2.3) can be restated as

$$\operatorname{D}\operatorname{per}(A)(X) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \operatorname{per} A(j; X). \tag{2.4}$$

From (1.1), we note that $\operatorname{D}\operatorname{per} A(X)$ is the coefficient of t in the polynomial $\operatorname{per}(A + tX)$. Using the fact that per is a linear function of each of the columns, we immediately obtain (2.4).

■

The *Laplace expansion theorem* for permanents ([13], page 16) says that for any $1 \le m \le n$ and for any $\mathcal{I} \in Q_{m,n}$,

$$\operatorname{per} A = \sum_{\mathcal{J} \in Q_{m,n}} \operatorname{per} A[\mathcal{I}|\mathcal{J}] \operatorname{per} A(\mathcal{I}|\mathcal{J}). \tag{2.5}$$

In particular, for any $i, 1 \le i \le n$,

$$\operatorname{per} A = \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij} \operatorname{per} A(i|j). \tag{2.6}$$

Using this, equation (2.4) can be rewritten as

$$\mathrm{D}\,\mathrm{per}\,(A)(X) = \sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{ij}\,\mathrm{per}\,A(i|j). \tag{2.7}$$

The following two theorems are analogues of theorems 1 and 2 of [8] and also generalisations of equations (2.4) and (2.7) respectively. The proofs are also similar to the proofs in [8].

Theorem 2.2. *For* $1 \leq m \leq n$*,*

$$\mathrm{D}^m\,\mathrm{per}\,(A)(X^1,\ldots,X^m) = \sum_{\sigma\in S_m}\sum_{\mathcal{J}\in Q_{m,n}} \mathrm{per}\,A(\mathcal{J};X^{\sigma(1)},X^{\sigma(2)},\ldots,X^{\sigma(m)}). \tag{2.8}$$

In particular,

$$\mathrm{D}^m\,\mathrm{per}\,(A)(X,\ldots,X) = m!\sum_{\mathcal{J}\in Q_{m,n}} \mathrm{per}\,A(\mathcal{J};X,\ldots,X).$$

Proof. From (1.2), $\mathrm{D}^m\,\mathrm{per}\,(A)(X^1,\ldots,X^m)$ is the coefficient of $t_1\cdots t_m$ in the expansion of $\mathrm{per}\,(A+t_1X^1+\cdots+t_mX^m)$. Using this and linearity of the per function in each of the columns, we obtain (2.8). ∎

Theorem 2.3. *For* $1 \leq m \leq n$*,*

$$\mathrm{D}^m\,\mathrm{per}\,(A)(X^1,\ldots,X^m) = \sum_{\sigma\in S_m}\sum_{\mathcal{I},\mathcal{J}\in Q_{m,n}} \mathrm{per}\,A(\mathcal{I}|\mathcal{J})\,\mathrm{per}\,Y^{\sigma}_{[\mathcal{J}]}[\mathcal{I}|\mathcal{J}]. \tag{2.9}$$

In particular,

$$\mathrm{D}^m\,\mathrm{per}\,(A)(X,\ldots,X) = m!\sum_{\mathcal{I},\mathcal{J}\in Q_{m,n}} \mathrm{per}\,A(\mathcal{I}|\mathcal{J})\,\mathrm{per}\,X[\mathcal{I}|\mathcal{J}].$$

Proof. For each $\mathcal{J}\in Q_{m,n}$, the Laplace expansion theorem gives

$$\mathrm{per}\,A(\mathcal{J};X^{\sigma(1)},\ldots,X^{\sigma(m)}) = \sum_{\mathcal{I}\in Q_{m,n}} \mathrm{per}\,A(\mathcal{I}|\mathcal{J})\,\mathrm{per}\,Y^{\sigma}_{[\mathcal{J}]}[\mathcal{I}|\mathcal{J}].$$

Equation (2.9) is obtained by expanding each term in the summation of (2.8) in this manner. ∎

We note here that

$$\mathrm{D}^n\,\mathrm{per}\,(A)(X,\ldots,X) = n!\;\mathrm{per}\,X, \tag{2.10}$$

and

$$\mathrm{D}^m\,\mathrm{per}\,(A)(X,\ldots,X) = 0 \quad \forall\, m > n. \tag{2.11}$$

For $X^1, \ldots, X^m \in \mathscr{L}(\mathcal{H})$, consider the following operator on $\otimes^m \mathcal{H}$:

$$\frac{1}{m!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_m} X^{\sigma(1)} \otimes X^{\sigma(2)} \otimes \cdots \otimes X^{\sigma(m)}. \tag{2.12}$$

It leaves the space $\vee^m \mathcal{H}$ invariant. We use the notation $X^1 \vee X^2 \vee \cdots \vee X^m$ for the restriction of this operator to the subspace $\vee^m \mathcal{H}$.

For $m \times m$ matrices $T^1, \ldots, T^m$, denote by $\Delta_p(T^1, \ldots, T^m)$ the quantity

$$\frac{1}{m!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_m} \operatorname{per}\left[T^{\sigma(1)}_{[1]}, \ldots, T^{\sigma(m)}_{[m]}\right].$$

This quantity occurs in [1] and has been termed as the *mixed permanent* of $T^1, \ldots, T^m$. When all $T^j = T$,

$$\Delta_p(T, \ldots, T) = \operatorname{per} T.$$

We make the following observations.

1. For $n \times n$ matrices $X^1, \ldots, X^m$ and $\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J} \in G_{m,n}$, the$(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$-entry of $X^1 \vee \cdots \vee X^m$ is

$$(m(\mathcal{I})m(\mathcal{J}))^{-1/2} \Delta_p(X^1[\mathcal{I}|\mathcal{J}], \ldots, X^m[\mathcal{I}|\mathcal{J}]). \tag{2.13}$$

Proof. The $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$-entry of $X^1 \vee \cdots \vee X^m$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\langle m(\mathcal{I})^{-1/2}(e_{i_1} \vee \cdots \vee e_{i_m}), (X^1 \vee \cdots \vee X^m)(m(\mathcal{J})^{-1/2}(e_{j_1} \vee \cdots \vee e_{j_m}))\right\rangle \\
= \ &\frac{(m(\mathcal{I})m(\mathcal{J}))^{-1/2}}{(m!)^2} \sum_{\sigma,\tau,\eta \in S_m} \left\langle e_{i_{\sigma(1)}} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{i_{\sigma(m)}}, X^{\tau(1)} e_{j_{\eta(1)}} \otimes \cdots \otimes X^{\tau(m)} e_{j_{\eta(m)}} \right\rangle \\
= \ &\frac{(m(\mathcal{I})m(\mathcal{J}))^{-1/2}}{(m!)^2} \sum_{\sigma,\tau,\eta \in S_m} \prod_{p=1}^{m} \left\langle e_{i_{\sigma(p)}}, X^{\tau(p)} e_{j_{\eta(p)}} \right\rangle \\
= \ &\frac{(m(\mathcal{I})m(\mathcal{J}))^{-1/2}}{(m!)^2} \sum_{\sigma,\tau,\eta \in S_m} \prod_{q=1}^{m} \left\langle e_{i_q}, X^{\tau \circ \sigma^{-1}(q)} e_{j_{\eta \circ \sigma^{-1}(q)}} \right\rangle \text{ (putting } q = \sigma(p)) \\
= \ &\frac{(m(\mathcal{I})m(\mathcal{J}))^{-1/2}}{(m!)^2} \sum_{\gamma,\tau,\eta \in S_m} \prod_{q=1}^{m} \left\langle e_{i_q}, X^{\tau \circ \eta^{-1} \circ \gamma(q)} e_{j_{\gamma(q)}} \right\rangle \text{ (putting } \gamma = \eta \circ \sigma^{-1}) \\
= \ &\frac{(m(\mathcal{I})m(\mathcal{J}))^{-1/2}}{m!} \sum_{\omega,\gamma \in S_m} \prod_{q=1}^{m} \left\langle e_{i_q}, X^{\omega \circ \gamma(q)} e_{j_{\gamma(q)}} \right\rangle
\end{aligned}$$

(by putting $\omega = \tau \circ \eta^{-1}$ and observing that as τ and η vary in S_m, ω varies in S_m $m!$ times.)

$$\begin{aligned}
= \ &\frac{(m(\mathcal{I})m(\mathcal{J}))^{-1/2}}{m!} \sum_{\omega,\gamma \in S_m} \prod_{q=1}^{m} (i_q, j_{\gamma(q)})\text{-entry of} \\
&\qquad\qquad [(X^{\omega(1)}[\mathcal{I}|\mathcal{J}])_{[1]}, \ldots, (X^{\omega(m)}[\mathcal{I}|\mathcal{J}])_{[m]}]
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{(m(\mathcal{I})m(\mathcal{J}))^{-1/2}}{m!}\sum_{\omega\in S_m} \operatorname{per}[(X^{\omega(1)}[\mathcal{I}|\mathcal{J}])_{[1]},\ldots,(X^{\omega(m)}[\mathcal{I}|\mathcal{J}])_{[m]}] \\
&= (m(\mathcal{I})m(\mathcal{J}))^{-1/2}\Delta_p(X^1[\mathcal{I}|\mathcal{J}],\ldots,X^m[\mathcal{I}|\mathcal{J}]).
\end{aligned}
$$

■

2. For $\mathcal{I},\mathcal{J}\in Q_{m,n}$,

$$\sum_{\sigma\in S_m} \operatorname{per} Y^{\sigma}_{[\mathcal{J}]}[\mathcal{I}|\mathcal{J}] = m!\ \Delta_p(X^1[\mathcal{I}|\mathcal{J}],\ldots,X^m[\mathcal{I}|\mathcal{J}]). \tag{2.14}$$

Proof.

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{\sigma\in S_m} \operatorname{per} Y^{\sigma}_{[\mathcal{J}]}[\mathcal{I}|\mathcal{J}] \\
&= \sum_{\sigma\in S_m}\sum_{\gamma\in S_m}\prod_{p=1}^{m}(i_p, j_{\gamma(p)})\text{-entry of } Y^{\sigma}_{[\mathcal{J}]} \\
&= \sum_{\sigma\in S_m}\sum_{\gamma\in S_m}\prod_{p=1}^{m}(i_p, j_{\gamma(p)})\text{-entry of } X^{\sigma\circ\gamma(p)} \\
&= \sum_{\sigma\in S_m}\sum_{\gamma\in S_m}\prod_{p=1}^{m}(i_p, j_{\gamma(p)})\text{-entry of } [(X^{\sigma(1)}[\mathcal{I}|\mathcal{J}])_{[1]},\ldots,(X^{\sigma(m)}[\mathcal{I}|\mathcal{J}])_{[m]}] \\
&= \sum_{\sigma\in S_m} \operatorname{per}[(X^{\sigma(1)}[\mathcal{I}|\mathcal{J}])_{[1]},\ldots,(X^{\sigma(m)}[\mathcal{I}|\mathcal{J}])_{[m]}] \\
&= m!\ \Delta_p(X^1[\mathcal{I}|\mathcal{J}],\ldots,X^m[\mathcal{I}|\mathcal{J}]).
\end{aligned}
$$

■

In view of these observations, it is easy to derive two reformulations of theorem 2.3 given below as theorems 2.4 and 2.5.

Theorem 2.4. *For* $1\le m\le n$*,*

$$\mathrm{D}^m \operatorname{per}(A)(X^1,\ldots,X^m) = m! \sum_{\mathcal{I},\mathcal{J}\in Q_{m,n}} \operatorname{per} A(\mathcal{I}|\mathcal{J})\ \Delta_p(X^1[\mathcal{I}|\mathcal{J}],\ldots,X^m[\mathcal{I}|\mathcal{J}]). \tag{2.15}$$

Let P_k be the canonical projection of $\vee^k\mathcal{H}$ onto the subspace generated by $\{e_\alpha : \alpha\in Q_{k,n}\}$. If we vary α,β in $Q_{k,n}$, we get the submatrix $P_k(\vee^k A)P_k$ of $\vee^k A$. The matrix $\operatorname{padj}(A)^T$ can be identified with a submatrix of an operator on the space $\vee^{n-1}\mathcal{H}$. We call this operator $\tilde{\vee}^{n-1}A$. Then $\operatorname{padj}(A)^T = P_{n-1}(\tilde{\vee}^{n-1}A)P_{n-1}$, which is an $n\times n$ matrix. It is unitarily similar to the transpose of $P_{n-1}(\vee^{n-1}A)P_{n-1}$. Similarly, consider the transpose of the matrix whose (α, β)-entry is $\operatorname{per} A(\alpha|\beta)$. It can be identified as a submatrix of an operator on the space $\vee^{n-k}\mathcal{H}$. We call this operator $\tilde{\vee}^{n-k}A$. The $\binom{n}{k} \times \binom{n}{k}$ submatrix $P_{n-k}(\tilde{\vee}^{n-k}A)P_{n-k}$ of $\tilde{\vee}^{n-k}A$ is unitarily similar to the transpose of $P_{n-k}(\vee^{n-k}A)P_{n-k}$, which is a submatrix of $\vee^{n-k}A$.

Then equation (2.3) can also be written as

$$\mathrm{D} \operatorname{per}(A)(X) = \operatorname{tr}(P_{n-1}(\tilde{\vee}^{n-1}A)P_{n-1})X. \tag{2.16}$$

Its generalisation for higher order derivatives can be given as follows:

Theorem 2.5. *For $1 \leq m \leq n$,*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{D}^m \operatorname{per}(A)(X^1, \ldots, X^m) \quad = \quad & m! \operatorname{tr}\Big[\Big(P_{n-m}(\tilde{\vee}^{n-m}A)P_{n-m}\Big) \\ & \Big(P_m(X^1 \vee \cdots \vee X^m)P_m\Big)\Big]. \end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

In particular,

$$\mathrm{D}^m \operatorname{per}(A)(X, \ldots, X) = m! \operatorname{tr}\Big[\Big(P_{n-m}(\tilde{\vee}^{n-m}A)P_{n-m}\Big)\left(P_m(\vee^m X)P_m\right)\Big].$$

Proof. For $\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J} \in Q_{m,n}$, the $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$-entry of $P_m(X^1 \vee \cdots \vee X^m)P_m$ is $\Delta_p(X^1[\mathcal{I}|\mathcal{J}], \ldots, X^m[\mathcal{I}|\mathcal{J}])$. Also, $(\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{I})$-entry of $P_{n-k}(\tilde{\vee}^{n-k}A)P_{n-k}$ is $\operatorname{per} A(\mathcal{I}|\mathcal{J})$, by definition of $\tilde{\vee}^{n-k}A$. Equation (2.17) is now clear from (2.15). ■

3 Symmetric tensor power

Consider the map $\vee^k : \mathbb{M}(n) \to \mathbb{M}(\binom{n+k-1}{k})$ which takes an $n \times n$ matrix A to its kth symmetric tensor power. For elements $\gamma' = (\gamma'_1, \ldots, \gamma'_m) \in G_{m,n}$ and $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k) \in G_{k,n}$, we write $\gamma' \subseteq \alpha$ if $1 \leq m \leq k \leq n$ and $\{\gamma'_1, \ldots, \gamma'_m\} \subseteq \{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k\}$ with multiplicities allowed such that if α_ℓ occurs in α, say, d_α times then α_ℓ cannot occur in γ' for more than d_α times. Also if $\gamma' \subseteq \alpha$, then $\alpha - \gamma'$ will denote the element $(\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_{k-m})$ of $G_{k-m,n}$ where $\gamma_\ell \in \{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k\}$ such that γ_ℓ is some α_{i_ℓ} and occurs in $\alpha - \gamma'$ exactly $d_\alpha - d_{\gamma'}$ times where d_α and $d_{\gamma'}$ denote the multiplicities of α_{i_ℓ} in α and in γ' respectively.

Let Y be a $\binom{n+m-1}{m} \times \binom{n+m-1}{m}$ matrix and for $1 \leq m \leq k \leq n$, let $\gamma, \delta \in G_{k-m,n}$. We denote by $Y^{(k)}(\gamma, \delta)$, the $\binom{n+k-1}{k} \times \binom{n+k-1}{k}$ matrix whose indexing set is $G_{k,n}$ and for $\alpha, \beta \in G_{k,n}$, the (α, β)-entry of $Y^{(k)}(\gamma, \delta)$ is $\left(\frac{m(\alpha-\gamma)m(\beta-\delta)}{m(\alpha)m(\beta)}\right)^{1/2}$ times $(\alpha - \gamma, \beta - \delta)$-entry of Y if $\gamma \subseteq \alpha$ and $\delta \subseteq \beta$ and zero otherwise.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $A \in \mathbb{M}(n)$. Then for $1 \leq m \leq k \leq n$,*

$$\mathrm{D}^m \vee^k (A)(X^1, \ldots, X^m) = m! \sum_{\gamma, \delta \in G_{k-m,n}} \operatorname{per} A[\gamma|\delta] \; (X^1 \vee \cdots \vee X^m)^{(k)}(\gamma, \delta) \tag{3.1}$$

Proof. We show that for $\alpha, \beta \in G_{k,n}$, the (α, β)-entry on both the sides is equal. First a lemma:

Lemma 3.2. *If f and g are two maps such that the composite $f \circ g$ is well defined and g is linear, then*

$$\mathrm{D}^m (f \circ g)(A)(X^1, \ldots, X^m) = \mathrm{D}^m f(g(A))(g(X^1), \ldots, g(X^m)). \tag{3.2}$$

Proof. This follows from (1.2). ∎

Now, for $\alpha, \beta \in G_{k,m}$, the (α, β)-entry of $\vee^k(A)$ is $(m(\alpha)m(\beta))^{-1/2} \operatorname{per} A[\alpha|\beta]$. In the lemma above, taking f to be the per map and $g : \mathbb{M}(n) \to \mathbb{M}(k)$ as the linear map which takes A to $A[\alpha|\beta]$, it follows that the (α, β)-entry of $\mathrm{D}^m \vee^k (A)(X^1, \ldots, X^m)$ is

$$(m(\alpha)m(\beta))^{-1/2}\ \mathrm{D}^m \operatorname{per}(A[\alpha|\beta])(X^1[\alpha|\beta], \ldots, X^m[\alpha|\beta]).$$

So by (2.15), the (α, β)-entry of $\mathrm{D}^m \vee^k (A)(X^1, \ldots, X^m)$ is

$$m!\ (m(\alpha)m(\beta))^{-1/2} \sum_{\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J} \in Q_{m,n}} \operatorname{per}(A[\alpha|\beta])(\mathcal{I}|\mathcal{J})\ \Delta_p((X^1[\alpha|\beta])[\mathcal{I}|\mathcal{J}], \ldots, (X^m[\alpha|\beta])[\mathcal{I}|\mathcal{J}])$$

(by (2.14))

$$= m!\ (m(\alpha)m(\beta))^{-1/2} \sum_{\substack{\gamma', \delta' \in G_{m,n} \\ \gamma' \subseteq \alpha,\ \delta' \subseteq \beta}} \operatorname{per} A[\alpha - \gamma'|\beta - \delta']\ \Delta_p(X^1[\gamma'|\delta'], \ldots, X^m[\gamma'|\delta']).$$

$$= m!\ (m(\alpha)m(\beta))^{-1/2} \sum_{\substack{\gamma, \delta \in G_{k-m,n} \\ \gamma \subseteq \alpha,\ \delta \subseteq \beta}} \operatorname{per} A[\gamma|\delta]\ \Delta_p(X^1[\alpha - \gamma|\beta - \delta], \ldots, X^m[\alpha - \gamma|\beta - \delta]). \tag{3.3}$$

The last expression is equal to $m! \sum\limits_{\substack{\gamma, \delta \in G_{k-m,n} \\ \gamma \subseteq \alpha,\ \delta \subseteq \beta}} \operatorname{per} A[\gamma|\delta] \left(\dfrac{m(\alpha - \gamma)m(\beta - \delta)}{m(\alpha)m(\beta)}\right)^{1/2}$ times the $(\alpha - \gamma, \beta - \delta)$-entry of $\left(X^1 \vee \cdots \vee X^m\right)$,
which is same as $m! \sum\limits_{\gamma, \delta \in G_{k-m,n}} (\operatorname{per} A[\gamma|\delta])$ times the (α, β)-entry of $(X^1 \vee \cdots \vee X^m)^{(k)}(\gamma, \delta)$.

This is the (α, β)-entry of

$$m! \sum_{\gamma, \delta \in G_{k-m,n}} \operatorname{per} A[\gamma|\delta]\ (X^1 \vee \cdots \vee X^m)^{(k)}(\gamma, \delta).$$

∎

Theorem 3.3. *Let $A \in \mathbb{M}(n)$. Then for $1 \leq m \leq k \leq n$,*

$$\|\mathrm{D}^m \vee^k A\| = \frac{k!}{(k-m)!} \|A\|^{k-m}.$$

Proof. We use ideas similar to those in [5] and [7]. We first show that the left hand side of the above equation is smaller than the right hand side. For this, we need a lemma.

Lemma 3.4. *For* $1 \leq m \leq k \leq n$,

$$\mathrm{D}^m \otimes^k (A)(X^1, \ldots, X^m) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_m} \sum_{\substack{j_r \geq 0 \\ j_1 + \cdots + j_{m+1} = k-m}} (\otimes^{j_1} A) \otimes X^{\sigma(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes X^{\sigma(m)} \otimes (\otimes^{j_{m+1}} A). \tag{3.4}$$

From this it follows that

$$\|\mathrm{D}^m \otimes^k A\| = \frac{k!}{(k-m)!} \|A\|^{k-m}. \tag{3.5}$$

Proof. Equation (3.4) can be obtained easily since its left hand side is the coefficient of $t_1 \cdots t_m$ in the expansion of $\otimes^k (A + t_1 X^1 + \cdots + t_m X^m)$. For the norm, the $\leq$ inequality follows by the triangle inequality. The equality is attained at the tuple $(A/\|A\|, \ldots, A/\|A\|)$. ■

Let $S_k : \otimes^k \mathcal{H} \to \vee^k \mathcal{H}$ be the projection given by

$$S_k(x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_k) = \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_k} x_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{\sigma(k)}$$

and let $Q_k : \vee^k \mathcal{H} \to \otimes^k \mathcal{H}$ be the inclusion map. Define $\tilde{S}_k : \mathscr{L}(\otimes^k \mathcal{H}) \to \mathscr{L}(\vee^k \mathcal{H})$ by

$$\tilde{S}_k(T) = S_k T Q_k.$$

Then $\tilde{S}_k$ is a projection and so $\|\tilde{S}_k\| \leq 1$. Also $\vee^k : \mathscr{L}(\mathcal{H}) \to \mathscr{L}(\vee^k \mathcal{H})$ factors through $\otimes^k : \mathscr{L}(\mathcal{H}) \to \mathscr{L}(\otimes^k \mathcal{H})$ via $\tilde{S}_k$ as follows.

$$\vee^k A = \tilde{S}_k(\otimes^k A) \quad \forall\, A \in \mathscr{L}(\mathcal{H}).$$

Since $\tilde{S}_k$ is linear, therefore

$$\mathrm{D}^m \vee^k A = \tilde{S}_k \circ \mathrm{D}^m \otimes^k A.$$

So,

$$\|\mathrm{D}^m \vee^k A\| \leq \|\tilde{S}_k\| \|\mathrm{D}^m \otimes^k A\| \leq \frac{k!}{(k-m)!} \|A\|^{k-m} \tag{3.6}$$

Now, we show that for $X^1 = \cdots = X^m = I$, $\|\mathrm{D}^m \vee^k (A)(I, \ldots, I)\| \geq \frac{k!}{(k-m)!} \|A\|^{k-m}$. Let $A^\dagger = UAW$ be the singular value decomposition of A where U and W are $n \times n$ unitary matrices. Then $\vee^k U$ and $\vee^k W$ are unitary matrices of order $\binom{n+k-1}{k}$. For $X^1, \ldots, X^m \in \mathbb{M}(n)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\mathrm{D}^m \vee^k (A)(X^1, \ldots, X^m)\| \\
&= \|(\vee^k U)(\mathrm{D}^m \vee^k (A)(X^1, \ldots, X^m))(\vee^k W)\| \\
&= \left\| (\vee^k U) \left(\frac{\partial^m}{\partial t_1 \cdots \partial t_m}\Big|_{t_1=\cdots=t_m=0} \vee^k (A + t_1 X^1 + \cdots + t_m X^m) \right) (\vee^k W) \right\| \\
&= \left\| \frac{\partial^m}{\partial t_1 \cdots \partial t_m}\Big|_{t_1=\cdots=t_m=0} \vee^k (U(A + t_1 X^1 + \cdots + t_m X^m)W) \right\| \\
&= \left\| \frac{\partial^m}{\partial t_1 \cdots \partial t_m}\Big|_{t_1=\cdots=t_m=0} \vee^k (A^\dagger + t_1 U X^1 W + \cdots + t_m U X^m W) \right\| \\
&= \|\mathrm{D}^m \vee^k (A^\dagger)(U X^1 W, \ldots, U X^m W)\|.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\|UX^iW\| = \|X^i\|$ and $\{UXW : \|X\| = 1\}$ includes all the matrices of norm 1, therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\mathrm{D}^m \vee^k A\| &= \sup_{\|X^1\|=\cdots=\|X^m\|=1} \|\mathrm{D}^m \vee^k (A)(X^1, \ldots, X^m)\| \\
&= \sup_{\|X^1\|=\cdots=\|X^m\|=1} \|\mathrm{D}^m \vee^k (A^\dagger)(UX^1W, \ldots, UX^mW)\| \\
&= \|\mathrm{D}^m \vee^k A^\dagger\|.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, let $A^\dagger = \begin{pmatrix} s_1(A) & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & s_n(A) \end{pmatrix}$, where $s_1(A) \geq \cdots \geq s_n(A) \geq 0$.

From (1.2),

$$\mathrm{D}^m \vee^k (A^\dagger)(I, \ldots, I) = \frac{\partial^m}{\partial t_1 \cdots \partial t_m}\Big|_{t_1=\cdots=t_m=0} \vee^k (A^\dagger + t_1 I + \cdots + t_m I).$$

The matrix $\vee^k(A^\dagger + t_1 I + \cdots + t_m I)$ is also a diagonal matrix. Its top left entry is (α, α)-entry for $\alpha = (1, \ldots, 1)$, which is

$$m(\alpha)^{-1} \operatorname{per}((A^\dagger + t_1 I + \cdots + t_m I)[\alpha|\alpha]).$$

This is equal to $(k!)^{-1}$ times *permanent* of the $k \times k$ matrix each of whose entries are $s_1 + t_1 + \cdots + t_m$. So the top left entry is $(s_1 + t_1 + \cdots + t_m)^k$. Now

$$\frac{\partial^m}{\partial t_1 \cdots \partial t_m}(s_1 + t_1 + \cdots + t_m)^k = k(k-1)\cdots(k-m+1)(s_1 + t_1 + \cdots + t_m)^{k-m}.$$

So

$$\frac{\partial^m}{\partial t_1 \cdots \partial t_m}\Big|_{t_1=\cdots=t_m=0} (s_1 + t_1 + \cdots + t_m)^k = \frac{k!}{(k-m)!}\, s_1^{k-m}.$$

Thus,

$$\|\mathrm{D}^m \vee^k (A)(I,\ldots,I)\| = \|\mathrm{D}^m \vee^k (A^\dagger)(I,\ldots,I)\| \geq \frac{k!}{(k-m)!}\|A\|^{k-m}. \quad (3.7)$$

Equations (3.6) and (3.7) give the desired result. ■

Corollary 3.5. *For $n \times n$ matrices A and X,*

$$\| \vee^k (A+X) - \vee^k A\| \leq (\|A\| + \|X\|)^k - \|A\|^k.$$

Proof. By Taylor's theorem,

$$\begin{aligned}
\| \vee^k (A+X) - \vee^k A\| &= \|\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{m!}\mathrm{D}^m \vee^k (A)(X,\ldots,X)\| \\
&\leq \sum_{m=1}^{k} \frac{1}{m!}\|\mathrm{D}^m \vee^k A\|\|X\|^m \\
&= \sum_{m=1}^{k} \frac{1}{m!}\frac{k!}{(k-m)!}\|A\|^{k-m}\|X\|^m \\
&= \sum_{m=1}^{k} \binom{k}{m}\|A\|^{k-m}\|X\|^m \\
&= (\|A\| + \|X\|)^k - \|A\|^k.
\end{aligned}$$

■

Corollary 3.6. *For $1 \leq m \leq n$,*

$$\|\mathrm{D}^m \operatorname{per} A\| \leq \frac{n!}{(n-m)!}\|A\|^{n-m} \quad (3.8)$$

and

$$|\operatorname{per}(A+X) - \operatorname{per} A| \leq (\|A\| + \|X\|)^n - \|A\|^n. \quad (3.9)$$

Proof. Since $\operatorname{per} A$ is (α,α)-entry of $\vee^n A$ for $\alpha = (1,\ldots,n)$, we get

$$\|\mathrm{D}^m \operatorname{per} A\| \leq \|\mathrm{D}^m \vee^n A\| = \frac{n!}{(n-m)!}\|A\|^{n-m}$$

and again by Taylor's theorem,

$$|\operatorname{per}(A+X) - \operatorname{per} A| \leq (\|A\| + \|X\|)^n - \|A\|^n.$$

■

Consider the simplest commutative case: $A = I$, $X = xI$. Then the expression on both the sides of the inequality (3.9) is

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \binom{n}{k} x^k.$$

So no improvement on the perturbation bound of per is possible in this sense.

4 Remarks

1. Since $\operatorname{per} A$ is the (α, α)-entry of $\vee^n A$ for $\alpha = (1, 2, \ldots, n)$, $\mathrm{D}^m \operatorname{per}(A)(X^1, \ldots, X^m)$ is the (α, α)-entry of $\mathrm{D}^m \vee^n (A)(X^1, \ldots, X^m)$ which by equation (3.3) equals

$$\begin{aligned} & m! \sum_{\substack{\gamma,\delta \in G_{n-m,n} \\ \gamma,\delta \subseteq \alpha}} \operatorname{per} A[\gamma|\delta]\ \Delta_p(X^1[\alpha-\gamma|\beta-\delta], \ldots, X^m[\alpha-\gamma|\beta-\delta]) \\ &= m! \sum_{\gamma',\delta' \in Q_{m,n}} \operatorname{per} A(\gamma'|\delta')\ \Delta_p(X^1[\gamma'|\delta'], \ldots, X^m[\gamma'|\delta']) \\ &= \sum_{\sigma \in S_m} \sum_{\gamma',\delta' \in Q_{m,n}} \operatorname{per} A(\gamma'|\delta') \operatorname{per} Y^{\sigma}_{[\delta']}[\gamma'|\delta'] \qquad \text{(by (2.14))} \end{aligned}$$

which is same as equation (2.9).

2. The bound on $\|\mathrm{D}^m \operatorname{per} A\|$ can also be obtained directly from (2.17). First note that if A is an $n \times n$ matrix, then

$$\|A\| \leq \|A\|_1 \leq n\, \|A\|. \tag{4.1}$$

Also, if $s_1(A) \geq \cdots \geq s_n(A)$ are the singular values of A, then

$$\| \vee^k A\| = s_1(A)^k = \|A\|^k \tag{4.2}$$

and if $\|X^j\| = 1$ for all j then $\|P_m(X^1 \vee \cdots \vee X^m)P_m\| \leq 1$.

The *trace norm* $\|\ \|_1$ is the dual of the operator norm and so

$$\|A\|_1 = \sup_{\|X\|=1} |\operatorname{tr} AX|. \tag{4.3}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathrm{D}^m \operatorname{per} A\| &= \sup_{\|X^1\|=\cdots=\|X^m\|=1} \|\mathrm{D}^m \operatorname{per}(A)(X^1, \ldots, X^m)\| \\ &= m! \sup_{\|X^1\|=\cdots=\|X^m\|=1} |\operatorname{tr}[(P_{n-m}(\tilde{\vee}^{n-m} A)P_{n-m}) \\ &\qquad\qquad (P_m(X^1 \vee \cdots \vee X^m)P_m)]| \\ &\leq m!\, \|P_{n-m}\, (\tilde{\vee}^{n-m} A)\, P_{n-m}\|_1 \qquad \text{(by (4.3))} \\ &\leq m! \binom{n}{m} \|P_{n-m}\, (\tilde{\vee}^{n-m} A)\, P_{n-m}\| \qquad \text{(using (4.1))} \\ &\leq m! \binom{n}{m} \| \vee^{n-m} A\| \\ &= \frac{n!}{(n-m)!} \|A\|^{n-m}. \qquad \text{(by (4.2))} \end{aligned}$$

Acknowledgement

The possibility of studying this problem was suggested by Professor Rajendra Bhatia. It is a pleasure to record my thanks to him.

References

[1] R.B. Bapat, Inequalities for mixed Schur functions, *Linear Algebra and its Applications* 83(1986)143–149.

[2] R. Bhatia, *Matrix Analysis*, Springer, New York, 1997.

[3] R. Bhatia, *Positive Definite Matrices*, Princeton University Press, New Jersey, 2007.

[4] R. Bhatia, *Perturbation Bounds for Matrix Eigenvalues*, SIAM, Philadelphia, 2007, expanded reprint of 1987 edition.

[5] R. Bhatia, Variation of symmetric tensor powers and permanents, *Linear Algebra and its Applications* 62(1984)269–276.

[6] R. Bhatia and J.A. Dias da Silva, Variation of induced linear operators, *Linear Algebra and its Applications* 341(2002)391–402.

[7] R. Bhatia and S. Friedland, Variation of Grassman powers and spectra, *Linear Algebra and its Applications* 40(1981)1–18.

[8] R. Bhatia and T. Jain, Higher order derivatives and perturbation bounds for determinants, *Linear Algebra and its Applications* 431(2009)2102–2108.

[9] J. Dieudonné, *Foundations of Modern Analysis*, Academic Press, New York, 1960.

[10] T. Jain, Derivatives for antisymmetric tensor powers and perturbation bounds, to appear.

[11] M. Marcus and H. Minc, *A Survey of Matrix Theory and Matrix Inequalities*, Dover Publications, New York, 1992, reprint of 1964 edition.

[12] R. Merris, *Multilinear Algebra*, Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, Singapore, 1997.

[13] H. Minc, *Permanents*, Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, Massachusetts, 1970.